

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/687,575	10/13/2000	Rima Kaddurah-Daouk	AVZ-007CP3	9336
959	7590 12/14/2004		EXAM	INER
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP.			COVINGTON, RAYMOND K	
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001011, 111			1625	-
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/687,575	KADDURAH-DAOUK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond Covington	1625
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 25 3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und 	This action is non-final. Dwance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,10-18,34-39,64-74,76-84,</u> 7) Claim(s) <u>117</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration. 86-96,98-106,108-118,120-128	<u>3 and 130-132</u> is/are rejected.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to b the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 lataniau su	mmary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

S. Patent and Trademark Office 7L-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-4,6-8,10-18,34-39,64-74,76-84,86-96,98-106,108-118,120-128 and 130-132.

Art Unit: 1625

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 64, 66, 68, 86-90, 108-112, 130 and 132 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point
out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 64, 66, 68, 86-90, 108-112, 130 and 132 recite the limitation "mitrochondrial cofactors, electron transport chain regulators" in claim 64 page 10, claim 86 page 15, claim 108 page 21, clain130 page 29 and claim 132 page 33 of the amended claims of 9/23/04. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-18, 34-39, 64-74, 76-84, 86-96, 98-106, 108-118, 120-128 and 130-132 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The formula Z_1 C = X - A - Y

Art Unit: 1625

Wherein the above formula is recited as being a creatine compound in claim 1, for example, is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The definitions for the elements in

formula
$$Z_1$$
 does not include X_1 Z_2 Z_2 does not include X_1 Z_2

a basic component in creatine compounds even if this structure is part of a ring. It is recognized that Tables 1 and 2 on pages 26-28 of the specification are proper creatine compounds. However, the claims define creatine compounds as only those derived by the formula. Further, the specification also lacks the basic creatine structure in defining the formula. See for example page 4 of the specification.

Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-18, 34-39, 64-74, 76-84, 86-96, 98-106, 108-118, 120-128 and 130-132 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claims 1, 34, 64, 86, 108, 130, 132 fail to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in the formula

$$Z_{1}$$

$$Z_{2}$$

$$Z_{2}$$

Art Unit: 1625

On page 4 of applicants' specification Applicant has stated that this formula defines a creatine compound, and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because creatine compounds cannot

be derived from this formula. Z_1 , Z_2 , X, A and Y do not contain \mathbb{N} which is necessary to creatine compounds. Accordingly, it is not clear what applicants' regard as their invention.

Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims recite increasing ATP production in a subject by administering an amount effective to increase ATP production. The claims are considered as being "reach through" claims which contain subject matter to be discovered in the future i.e. any disorder or condition not yet correlated but may be discovered in the future to increased ATP production.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,575

Art Unit: 1625

The rejection of claims 1-4, 6-8, 34-39, 75 and 84-132 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph is maintained as to claims 83, 84, 105, 106, 126 and 127 as lacking enablement for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.

The terms "human", claims 84,106 and 128, and "Mammal", claims 83, 105 and 127 are not enabled. In the instant case, Applicants are claiming a method of treating humans. The nature of the pharmaceutical arts is that there is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any therapeutic regimen on its face. The instant specification does not give sufficient enabling support for use in all humans, particularly humans not in need of treatment. Reference to mammalian use is inadequate in that there is insufficient support that all mammals are subject to all of the diseases falling within the scope of the recited claims, which may be applicable to humans. In order to practice the claimed invention, one skilled in the art would have speculate which diseases could be treated or prevented using the instant claims. The number of possible diseases embraced by the claims would impose undue experimentation on the skilled art worker. Therefore, the broad terminology is not enabled because the metes and bounds cannot be ascertained.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,575

Art Unit: 1625

Claim 117 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form as depending from a canceled base claim, claim 108. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Upon reconsideration the rejections under 35 USC 103 have been with drawn in light of applicants' amendments and comments.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, C. Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,575

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond Covington Examiner

Art Unit 1625

KWesar 12/10/04